

# AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

## Executive Board Minutes

May 1-2, 1987 - Le Grand Hotel, Montreal, Quebec

**Members Present:** Don M. Gottfredson, William J. Chambliss, Charles F. Wellford J. Robert Lilly, Neal Shover, Marc Riedel, Terence P. Thornberry, John Hagan, Joan McCord, Carl Klockars, Edith E. Flynn, Nicole H. Rafter, Andre Normandeau, John S. Goldkamp, and Bruno Cormier

Charles Wellford made a motion to approve the Board agenda; seconded by Joan McCord.

The minutes of October 28 and November 1 were approved as amended.

**Treasurer's Report:** J. Robert Lilly reported that Barton Ingraham was to look into the matter of liability insurance for the Society. Charles Wellford reported on behalf of Ingraham that he felt liability insurance for publications was not necessary but that insurance for meeting liability should be considered.

William Chambliss moved that the Society not purchase any liability insurance for our publication. Seconded by Neal Shover. Motion carried.

William Chambliss moved that the Society authorize Sarah to spend up to \$10,000 for a computer system and laser-jet printer. Seconded by Edith E. Flynn. A friendly amendment was made that the Steering Committee work with Sarah on the purchase of the new equipment.

Carl Klockars noted the cost savings that could be had to do desktop publishing -- disc versus camera-ready and suggested that a competitive bid be secured on the equipment purchase.

The Executive Committee, together with Carl Klockars, will work with Sarah in securing the equipment.

Robert Lilly reported that the Society has had two ways of reporting its financial records for 87 -- cash and accrual and asked for the Board's decision to judge which system is the best for the Society. The concensus of the Board was for the accrual system.

Lilly reported that he was looking into additional options for printing the journal and investigating further ways of marketing the journal. He reported that the Society is financially sound, and if the journal was marketed, there would be additional financial gains for the Society. He suggested that this idea be pursued through the Publications Committee.

Long-term investments: Lilly reported that he was authorized to only invest the Society's funds for no longer than six months. Longer term investments would yield greater interest. Lilly moved that the Board approve investing the Society's funds beyond six months beginning in 1988. Seconded by Charles Wellford. Motion carried. Lilly noted that figures will be compared at the end of 1987 with 1986 figures to determine if any of the Society's cash reserves were used. The Finance Committee will review this matter.

Carl Klockars suggested that a formula be developed, possibly investing \$25,000 into long-term investments with remaining funds staying in short-term investments with no outstanding commitments against the \$100,000. Wellford suggested that more services be

provided to the members if the Society is financially sound, noting that other organizations provide group life insurance to their members. He suggested that further investigations be made into additional types of services that the Society could provide its members and suggested that a special committee look at the issue of new services to the members in light of the Society's financial situation.

Charles Wellford moved that the Treasurer's Report be accepted. Seconded by Nicole Rafter. Motion carried.

President Gottfredson expressed the Board's appreciation to Bob Lilly for his report and the work that he has done this past year.

### **1987-88 Presidential Committee Appointments:**

President-Elect Chambliss distributed copies of his committee appointments to the Board for their approval noting that some names on the list were not presently members of the Society but would be by the time of their appointment.

Joan McCord raised the issue that was previously discussed of having members of the Executive Board serve as chairs of the committees so that the Board could receive feedback as to the committee's activities noting that the Society gets disenfranchised if the Executive Board is not used for chairs of committees. Terence Thornberry noted that it was previously discussed that the Awards and Nominations Committees were two of the most important committees and that they should be chaired by members of the Board. Nicole Rafter noted that the Fellows Committee was also suggested as a committee to have as chair a member of the Executive Board. Charles Wellford moved adoption of the 1987-1988 presential appointments; seconded by Neal Shover.

Carl Klockars inquired how the Board should proceed with the discussion as some of the committee appointments are not members or have not been members and some have resigned from the Society in protest. President Gottfredson suggested that the Board proceed through committe by committee.

President-Elect Chambliss accepted the suggestion of Joan McCord that the Nominations, Awards and Fellows Committees be chaired by members of the Board, noting that the problem is that he did not know what the composition of the Board would be next year.

Nicole Rafter reported that the Division on Women and Crime has its own Fellows Committee which works very well and suggested that it might be useful to have a member of that committee on the ASC Fellows Committee.

Carl Klockars raised the question of the Site Selection Committee and offered some recommendations for this committee noting that under Lloyd Ohlin's administration, he and Marc Riedel developed a ad hoc committee. He felt it was extremely unwise to appoint a brand new committee year after year as there is an enormous amount of information to learn and there is the tremendous problem of continuity. One of the proposals was that the committee be comprised of three previous meeting chairs.

Following a brief discussion, a motion was made by Terence Thornberry and seconded by Edith E. Flynn that the motion be tabled regarding the presidential committee appointments until President-Elect Chambliss has had an opportunity to consider the Board's recommendations. Motion carried.

Terence Thornberry moved that each Committee be taken up separately in order. Seconded by Joan McCord. Motion carried.

Chambliss requested that instead of going through each committee the Board wait until tomorrow and he will rework his appointment list for presentation to the Board.

### Site Selection Committee Report

Carl Klockars reported that the Board had mandated three cities for the Committee to investigate: Seattle, San Francisco and Reno. He reported that there was no hotel in Seattle within reason regarding room rates. He reported that it is difficult to find a 700 room hotel that also has the meeting space that we require. Larger hotels have more than one convention running at the same time and there is competition with the hotel services and space. He noted that 18 months in advance is too short a time to look for meeting space, stating that two years is a minimum to book with hotels. He reported he was unsuccessful in finding a San Francisco hotel suitable to our needs. Several 300-400 room hotels could be used to spread the meeting, but this was something he would not pursue. Reno was left as the final mandate of the Board. He reported that Reno has major attractions for the welfare of the Society -- highly competitive room rates, no charge for shuttle service from the hotel to the airport; dining is at least 50% less than elsewhere; convention bureau offers many free services. Ken Peak of the University of Nevada at Reno offered to help plus faculty and student members have offered their services. Two hotels in Reno large enough to accommodate our meeting are The Nugget and Bally's. The entire membership could be accommodated in the tower. Overflow rooms in a motor lodge on the property 25 yards from the door. The Nugget offered room rates of \$65.00 a night, November 15-19; \$68, November 8-12; motor lodge rates of \$45-50, November 15-19; \$47-60, November 8-12 which will be attractive to students and people traveling on limited budgets. The Nugget is locally owned and will stand by their services. We will have 550 tower rooms, 100 motor lodge rooms and receive one complimentary room for every 50 rooms booked. They have also offered the Executive Board 50% off their rack rates (\$45-50) should the Board chose to hold their meeting there. They will also provide a complimentary cocktail party for the Board and will offer us a presidential reception at our regular meeting for one hour.

Chambliss expressed his appreciation for the amount of work the Site Selection Committee had done, but did not appreciate the Society taking its annual meeting to either Reno or Las Vegas. Suggested that Phoenix or San Diego might be considered instead. Riedel noted both Reno and Las Vegas were good convention towns, but attendance at sessions were generally down at meetings that he attended. Klockars expressed reservations about looking further because of booking hotels. Edith Flynn spoke strongly in favor of Reno having lived there for 10 years, noting there was no comparison between Las Vegas and Reno, and felt it would be a very agreeable conference site. Wellford noted that in the past we have wanted to hold something on the West Coast because of our large California membership and suggested that the Board refocus to find a place in California for the 1989 meeting.

A motion was made by Joan McCord and seconded by J. Robert Lilly that the Board approve the recommendation of the Site Selection Committee of Reno, Nevada as the site for the 1989 annual meeting. For: 8 Opposed 3. Motion carried.

A motion was made by Charles Wellford and seconded by Joan McCord that the Board accept the November 8-12, 1989 dates at the Nugget Hotel in Reno. Motion carried.

Klockars suggested that the Society consider the pattern of East-West-Midwest-Southwest rotation noting that if the structure of airline rates stays the same, it will be almost the same amount to go coast to coast as to go 500 miles somewhere. Charles Wellford suggested that the Site Selection Committee look at Philadelphia, Boston, Baltimore, Pittsburgh or somewhere in the Northeast Corridor for 1990.

Ridel suggested that the Board consider looking at least three years in advance for future meeting sites.

A motion was made by Charles Wellford to ask the current Site Selection Committee to focus on the Northeast Corridor for 1990 and to report back to the Board regarding sites and hotels at its next meeting. Motion carried.

A motion was made by Terence Thornberry to ask the 1987-88 Site Selection Committee to report at the mid-winter Board meeting on possible 1991-1992 meeting sites. Seconded by Joan McCord.

A motion was made by Charles Wellford that the Site Selection Committee be comprised of a program chair, treasurer and Sarah Hall; seconded by J. Robert Lilly. Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by Charles Wellford and seconded by Neal Shover that the presidential committee appointments as amended be adopted. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Awards Committee Report**

President Gottfredson excused himself from the meeting and requested that the Vice President serve as chair during the Awards Committee report. Terence Thornberry reported that announcements had been circulated in the newsletter asking for nominations. The Committee received surprisingly few nominations. The Committee met during the Atlanta meeting and made some additional nominations at the meeting. Following an initial presentation in Atlanta, the Committee, by mail, reconsidered its initial recommendations for the Sutherland and Sellin-Glueck Awards. Specifically, the Committee added a second recommendation for the Sutherland Award and considered Marc Ancel for the Sellin-Glueck Award.

The Committee also recommended that a special citation be presented to the School of Criminology and the International Center for Comparative Criminology at the University of Montreal in recognition of its distinguished contributions to comparative criminological research over the years. The Center was nominated as an "institutional" recipient of the Sutherland Award but the Committee felt it inappropriate to present that award to an institution rather than an individual. The Committee felt that a special citation recognizing the Center's contributions would be appropriate, especially since the conference is being held in Montreal.

Marc Riedel concurred with the recommendation and suggested that it could be a presidential citation. Thornberry reported that no special name or recommendation that such an award be made in the future was noted by the Committee. Concern was expressed by some Board members that it might be inappropriate to recognize institutions which could generate a lot of unhealthy competition. Wellford noted that the Committee was recommending the award be presented as a presidential award and therefore it would not require a Board vote. President Gottfredson advised the Awards Committee that he would make a presidential citation to the Center for Comparative Criminology and the University of Montreal at the Society's November annual meeting.

Thornberry reported the following recommendations for the 1987 Society awards:

Sutherland Award: (1) Alfred Blumstein, (2) Freda Adler, and (2) Joan McCord (tied votes for Adler and McCord).

Vollmer Award: (1) Jerome Miller and (2) Alan Breed.

Sellin-Glueck Award: (1) Marc Ancel, and (2) William Clifford (posthumously).

Bloch Award: Chris W. Eskridge.

Charles Wellford moved for the Committee's choice of Alfred Blumstein to receive the Edwin Sutherland Award; seconded by Joan McCord. For: 7; Against: 2; Abstained: 1. Motion carried.

August Vollmer Award: Thornberry reported that Jerome Miller had been nominated from the membership and Alan Breed was nominated by the committee at the Atlanta meeting. There was strong concensus among the Committee to give the award to Miller.

William Chambliss moved that the August Vollmer Award be presented to Jerome Miller; seconded by Charles Wellford. Motion carried unanimously.

Sellin-Glueck Award: The Committee originally recommended a joint award to three Germans but some concern was expressed at giving the award to more than one person or presenting a posthumous award. The Committee discussed these approachs at the Atlanta Meeting and it was hoped that the winner of the Sellin-Glueck Award would give a plenary address at the Montreal meeting. Marc Ancel was the Committee's choice to receive the Sellin-Glueck Award. Thornberry noted that he had received the names of a number of international scholars from Andre Normandeau and he would pass them on to next year's committee.

A motion was made and seconded to award the Sellin-Glueck Award to Marc Ancel. One abstention. Motion carried.

It was also suggested that Thorsten Sellin be invited to the meeting to present the award to Ancel.

Herbert Bloch Award: The Committee's unanimous choice for the Herbert Bloch Award is Chris Eskridge who is presently the Society's Advertising & Exhibits Coordinator and a very active member of the Society. A motion was made and seconded to award the Herbert Bloch Award to Chris W. Eskridge. Motion carried unanimously.

Thornberry reported that the young scholar or book award was discussed in Atlanta by his Committee but no resolution was made, noting that there was no strong feeling that the Society should or should not make these type of awards.

### **Fellows Committee Report**

Joan McCord reported that announcements had been circulated in the newsletter seeking nominations. McCord recommended that next year's Fellows Committee keep nominees on the list for two years as strong letters and recommendations from members had been made on their behalf. She noted that some Committee members recommended that the names be kept on the list even if only one nomination had been received. She noted that people that haven't paid their dues should not be overlooked, but the Committee voted conditionally that if the nominees paid their dues they would have an opportunity for the award. Fourteen recommendations were received, including two nominees who had also been recommended last year. A list with these 29 nominees, together with supporting papers submitted in their behalf was circulated to the Fellows Committee. Seven of the candidates had not paid their dues. Four of the nominees had not paid their dues by April 10 making them ineligible for consideration. Each member was asked to rank up to five nominees--with ranks to be counted only among the eligible candidates. Among the eligible candidates, David Bordua received 6 votes and Elmer Johnson received 5 votes. Three nominees received three votes: Roland Chilton, Sheldon Messinger and Ann Witte. The Committee recommended David Bordua, Elmer H. Johnson and Ann Witte to receive the Fellow award.

William Chambliss moved; seconded by Charles Wellford that the three candidates be voted on separately. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion was made and seconded for David Bordua to be made a Fellow of the Society. Motion carried.

Motion was made and seconded for Elmer Johnson to be made a Fellow of the Society For: 5; Against: 6. Motion defeated.

Motion was made and seconded for Ann Witte to be made a Fellow of the Society. One abstention. Motion carried.

President Gottfredson will write letters to the award and fellow winners, noting it would be desirable for the award winners to make meeting presentations.

### **Publications Committee Report**

Edith Flynn reported that the transfer of the editorship of CRIMINOLOGY has been in effect as of April 15, 1987. Doug Smith has begun to receive some manuscripts and is awaiting current pending manuscripts from Joe Weiss. The membership has been notified by special mailing of the transfer date.

A copy of the letter of support from the University of Maryland was distributed to the Board members. Flynn noted the list of associate and assistant editors proposed by Smith and recommended that his list be approved. Some Board members expressed concern that there were no minorities on the list; no one representing critical or radical criminology; lack of ethnographic editors. Joan McCord made a strong recommendation that Smith add in these areas to the associate editors list. Wellford noted that he would express the concerns of the Board to Doug for his consideration.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the list of associate and assistant editors to CRIMINOLOGY with nominations reflecting suggestions of the Board to include ethnographic editors, more minorities, quality quantitative representation qualitative, etc. For: 8; Opposed: 1. Motion carried.

Flynn reported that she had been in contact with Sage Publications on behalf of the Committee, and noted their continued interest in ASC publications. They are interested in high quality monographs and books and would be interested in getting CRIMINOLOGY back. Two Committee members would be interested in publishing as Guest Editors special issues of CRIMINOLOGY -- (a) Anna Kuhl would like to propose an issue on theoretical developments in the area of Family Violence, and (b) Marc Riedel would be interested in editing a special issue on Women and crime. Flynn asked for the Board's direction on how to proceed in this matter.

Riedel reported that he had received some suggestions from Sage that they would be interested in two kinds of manuscripts -- criminal justice textbooks and specialized books that would have appeal to criminal justice and variety of other disciplines.

Neal Shover questioned the special issues proposal noting that editors should have latitude within limits to do special issues and that no more than one or two special issues per term be suggested. McCord noted that imposing special issues on the editor may be a mistake. Wellford suggested that the discussion be separated into the issue of special issue or going back to the monograph series idea. It was noted that Law and Society has sought outside money to defray the costs of their special issues and that SSSP members get their special issues free, but institutions pay in addition to their subscription.

A motion was made by Joan McCord and seconded that the Society not substitute special issues for regular issues of CRIMINOLOGY. Motion carried.

Carl Klockars expressed concern that Doug Smith would be overburdened if he was required to produce special interest issues. Wellford noted that at the present time there were not enough manuscripts being received.

Cartoon issue: President Gottfredson noted that the Executive Committee had a conference call and the cartoon issue was raised by Hugh Barlow, newsletter editor, and the Committee felt it should be placed on the Board agenda. Hugh was told if he wanted to use the cartoon for one time he could if he needed it to fill up space.

A motion was made by Terence Thornberry and seconded that the Board not approve cartoons in the newsletter. For: 7; Against: 4. Motion carried.

Exchange of journal advertising received the Board's endorsement.

Flynn reported that she had received a request from Clayton Hartjen for free copies of CRIMINOLOGY to be distributed to libraries in the third world noting that she endorsed the request but questioned the cost. She reported that the Society could start on a modest scale and that the International Division has offered to support the request. She suggested that a small number of free journals be sent to libraries in the third world and ask that the International Division to identify countries, possibly up to 10. Seconded by Terence Thornberry. Support of the concept but would limit it to 10 at the present time. Thornberry suggested that a proposal be submitted so that it would be determined where the journals are being sent -- to major universities or 30 universities in one country? Another suggestion was to make the journal available at cost to libraries in third world countries rather than charging the institution fee. It allows those interested to respond.

It was suggested that a cost be obtained from the printer for an additional 500 copies of each issue for third world countries.

Edith reported that the Publications Committee was looking into the possibility of another printer for the journal. The University of California Press might be one that the Society could look into.

Nicole Rafter brought up the matter of changing the title of the journal. Names suggested by Thornberry were the American Journal of Criminology or the American Criminological Review. Charles Wellford suggested that the matter be taken up by the Publications Committee for them to bring back recommendations regarding the change of the journal title.

A motion was made by Carl Klockars and seconded by William Chambliss to have the Publications Committee make a recommendation regarding the change of the journal title.

Thornberry noted that the name is rather vague. When tenure promotion comes up the title of the journal comes up and needs to be defined. It is the major journal of the major professional society in the country and a name change would be beneficial. Cost and number of subscriptions involved. It was suggested that the Committee check with other journals that have changed their title to see what problems were involved.

### **Employment Exchange Committee**

The Committee is recommending that an additional person from Canada be added to their Committee to help during the Montreal meeting; copy machine service be available in the

Exchange during the meetings, and requesting public sector employees of the ASC membership to see if they are interested in listing the section with the ASC Employment Exchange.

The Board suggested that the cost of having a copy machine or coin-operated machine at the meeting be explored.

### **National Policy Committee Report**

Charles Wellford reported that he was asked to give the National Policy Committee report on behalf of Rita Simon.

Following the meeting of the Atlanta Board M. Kay Harris prepared a long letter to Rita Simon on what had happened noting the key was to undertake to develop specific recommendations before the next meeting. The focus of the Committee is on: (1) taking timely action on behalf of the Society to influence national policy in ways that advance scientific criminological research and practice and promote the best interests of the ASC, with respect to such matters as legislation, appropriations, appointments, regulations, and other governmental policies. (E.g., levels of funding and procedures for allocating and awarding funding, the importance of supporting independent [unsolicited] research.) (2) How the Society adopt position statements, issue statements of concern, or advocate policies on significant substantive issues in the field (e.g., death penalty, sentencing reform, use of deadly force)

Wellford asked if anyone had any ideas to communicate directly with Rita. They are looking into two issues -- the death penalty -- should ASC say something about the death penalty cases and -- preparing commentary on the report of the U.S. Sentencing Commission. The Committee expressed concern about the State Justice Institute created 3-4 years ago to provide funding to fairly specialized organizations to do improvement in court systems. Seven million dollars have been appropriated but there is no peer review in awarding of the funds. They would like to find a way for the Society to go on record as urging a peer review process. Wellford reported that the Committee will try to formulate a plan and forward it to the Executive Steering Committee.

President Gottfredson reported that he had received a telephone call from Marvin Wolfgang who indicated he was working with the NACCP Legal defense Fund and was interested in the possibility of the Society joining them in their amicus brief. The youth was 15 when the crime was committed and he is about to be executed. President Gottfredson reported that he invited Wolfgang to join in the conference call and present his request to the Steering Committee. The Committee did not feel it had the authority to decide on this matter without bringing it to the Board's attention. Wolfgang indicated that he would send copies of the materials to William Chambliss and to J. Robert Lilly. Neither has received information as of this date. Chambliss noted that nothing could be done until the brief was received. Rafter reported that she would like to see the Society take a position on this matter if the materials were received from Wolfgang. President Gottfredson reported that the National Policy Committee is looking at the death penalty and this matter could be referred to them. Klockars inquired if the Steering Committee would be acting as the Society on behalf of all its members in supporting this particular brief? Wellford stated that the first duty of the Board is to form the policies of the Society. The Board could vote at today's meeting to support the death penalty and that would be the policy of the ASC. Riedel suggested that some sort of framework be set up so that new members could see how the Society stands on certain positions on social issues. Lilly inquired if this Board could take a position that does not reflect the membership. A motion was made that the Executive Committee be asked to review the brief and to determine whether they would join in the brief on behalf of the Executive Board. Seconded by Nicole Hafter. Motion carried unanimously.



## **Constitution & By-Laws Report**

Questions raised for the Committee included (1) definition of who is a member of the Society for the mailing of the nomination information. Nominations are sent out in November and are not returned until February. If a person is a member by the time the nominations comes in we would count his nomination. (2) In the current Constitution any amount over \$500.00 requires the signature of both the president and the treasurer. The Board has recently voted to allow Sarah Hall, Administrator, to sign checks up to \$200.00, and this needs to be included in the Constitution.

It was suggested that on the dues notices that are mailed in December, a statement could be included that members are ineligible to vote if their dues are not paid by a certain date or inform them the date that the ballots will be mailed out..

With respect to the present ballots that were distributed for the forthcoming election, a motion was made and seconded that the ballot deadline be extended to June 15 and that members that were eligible at the end of December 1986 to vote be sent ballots for the present election. Motion carried.

## **Membership Committee Report**

President Gottfredson reported that the Membership Committee Report had been previously distributed and no further comments were needed.

## **1987 Program Committee Report**

Program Chair John Goldkamp reported that 160+ sessions have tentatively been scheduled as of this date. Plans call for simultaneous translation sessions to be held in the hotel auditorium which will allow participants to hear French speaking participants. Goldkamp stated that the Committee was still open to ideas that will allow them to bring special emphasis to the meeting, noting a few position papers could be worked into the sessions. The Committee did not see a big demand for poster and roundtable sessions, but they are being planned into the program. Goldkamp reported that the Colin Loftin of the University of Maryland had asked to conduct a National Crime Survey Workshop the day before the start of the meeting and approval was given as part of the preconvention activities. He also reported that there were a number of organizations that had requested special events during the meeting. Eastern Airlines has been chosen as the official carrier for the meeting and they are offering two free roundtrip tickets that will be raffled off after the meeting. Only people that had purchased their tickets through Eastern would be eligible for the raffle.

John Hagan noted that the Canadians will not be able to use the air facilities. It was suggested that a Canadian carrier be contacted regarding air travel in Canada.

Goldkamp distributed his meeting budget for the Board's approval. A motion was made and seconded that the 1987 meeting budget be approved. Motion carried. Lilly inquired as to what portion would be paid in U.S. versus Canadian funds. Regarding on-site registrations it was suggested that Canadian funds be accepted at the current rate of exchange for U.S. dollars.

Third World Journal Requests: Chambliss suggested that the Board not make a decision at this time until it was determined what the cost would be on producing extra journals. President Gottfredson inquired as to third world membership dues -- is there a definition and what is proposed? Chambliss strongly supported giving reduced fees to third world

members and inquired as to how low the Society could go in reducing its fees. Wellford questioned if this wasn't tied up into the reduced journal costs. Once the cost of the journal is established, this would be the amount for third world members. It was noted that SSSP states it costs \$38 to service a member. Cost of the production of the journal divided by membership and come up with a dollar figure was suggested.

Edith Flynn inquired how much it cost to service the membership. It was suggested to take the number of members and divide them into the budget. Lilly inquired if there was a precedent from other organizations regarding this matter. It was suggested that the Publications Committee look into the cost of producing the journal.

### **Long Term Hotel Negotiator**

President Gottfredson called the Board's attention to the report submitted by Chris Eskridge requesting a long-term hotel negotiator for the Society. After a brief discussion, the Board decided against a long-term hotel negotiator, leaving the negotiations for meeting sites and locations to the Site Selection Committee. President Gottfredson will contact Chris regarding the Board's decision in this matter.

### **New Business:**

Charles Wellford reported that he was working on the Policy Procedures Manual for the Board and would distribute the manual at the November Board meeting for the Board's consideration.

Additional Services to Members: Marc Riedel suggested that the Society provide a series of papers to the members on "how to apply for an academic position", as an example. He also suggested a series of professional development papers for the Society members. Also of a similar nature is a series of policy position papers under the auspices of the National Policy Committee which would make good material to use in classrooms and educational settings. The Society could underwrite the publication of these papers.

Wellford also suggested insurance options could be provided with membership dues which could cover health and life. Wellford suggested that an ad hoc committee be established to prepare some recommendations regarding membership services for the next Board meeting.. A book on careers in criminology and criminal justice was also suggested, along with suggestions for credit card policy, car rental cards, etc.

Carl Klockars suggested that it would be desirable for the Board that in the future a list of presidential appointments be circulated well in advance of the meeting so that the Board would be allowed the opportunity to see the people that have been appointed. If the Board felt that there were oversights in some areas of the Society that should be represented, the Board could come prepared to the next meeting with the necessary information.

Carl Klockars moved that the president-elect circulate his/her committee appointments to the Executive Board well in advance of the mid-winter spring Board meeting so that Board members could communicate directly or come prepared at the meeting for discussion regarding the appointments.

Seconded by Neal Shover; motion carried unanimously.

Next ASC Executive Board Meeting: Charles Wellford asked the Board's consideration for the last meeting of this Board to be held in Montreal on Saturday morning, followed by the first meeting of the new Board. Following a brief discussion, it was decided that President Gottfredson's last meeting would be held on Wednesday morning, November 10, and President Chambliss' first meeting would be held Saturday morning, November 14.

A motion to adjourn was made by Marc Riedel; seconded by Charles Wellford. President Gottfredson expressed his appreciation for everyone's hard work and diligence for this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles F. Wellford  
Executive Secretary