



THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

June 25-26, 1976

Neil House Motor Hotel - Columbus, Ohio

Members Present:

Gilbert Geis	Elmer Johnson
Harry Allen	William Parsonage
William Amos	Alvin Cohn
Joseph Scott	Ronald Huff
Edith Flynn	Ronald Akers
Robert Meier	Arnold Trebach
James Inciardi	John Conrad
Nicholas Kittrie	Barbara Price
John Gruber	Charles Newman
Paul Friday	Simon Dinitz
Edward Sagarin	Walter Reckless
Duncan Chappell	

President Gilbert Geis called the meeting to order at 7:45 p.m., Friday, June 25.

A motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Harry E. Allen that the minutes of the February 14, 1976, San Diego Executive Board meeting be approved as they stand. Motion carried.

TREASURER'S REPORT

Harry Allen called the members' attention to the State of Income, Statement of Expenditures and 1975 Annual Conference reports which were previously distributed to them through the mail. He reported that the 1975 Annual Conference statement was as of June 6, 1976, and since that time he has received a bill from Sociological Abstracts in the amount of \$250 to be added as a conference expense. A motion was made by Joseph Scott and seconded by Ronald Akers to approve the Treasurer's Report with the addition that the "less membership" be double-lined in the 1975 Annual Conference Report. Motion carried.

FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

Alvin Cohn reported that the Finance Committee met earlier today with Joseph Scott and Gilbert Geis to review the proposed budget prepared by Allen. They unanimously agreed to adopt the report and recommend its passage as it is presented. The Finance Committee is convinced of the desirability and the need for an administrative assistant. Part of the projected budget is based on the Society's potential growth but Cohn felt

it was very realistic. There are presently less than 2,000 members in the Society. The cost of the Administrative Assistant and the Journal are presently consuming the total membership dues. Projections for increased membership and an increase in dues will put the Society into a position that it will break even with conservative fiscal management. With the projected increase in membership there will be some excess funds. The Finance Committee is of the belief that the Society has to invest in themselves and this budget reflects their investment in themselves and deliberate work by Harry Allen in pulling the financial matters of the Society together. The breakout of expenses is a reflection of real expenses although it is not a mandate to spend that money. Cohn stated that an increase in dues appears to be inevitable. He reported that the Society is losing on student membership and they should be increased. There is enough cash to support the Society until the November Board meeting when a very careful analysis of where the money is going will tell the Society where they are financially. The Finance Committee agreed to an audit of the books for \$125. Allen asked to approve the change of the fiscal year so it would coincide with major functions of the Society and proposed that it coincide with the publication of the Journal and the membership drive. By this change, every income and expenditure from the conference will be within the fiscal year. Allen requested that this change in fiscal year be done by March 1, 1978.

A motion was made by Alvin Cohn and seconded by Duncan Chappell to accept the proposed budget for the 1976-1977 fiscal year. Motion carried.

Barbara Price commented that if the membership realized the actual services provided by the Society, i.e., the newsletter, placement services, etc., they would appreciate their membership more and realize it is useful to them. She stated she did not feel the increased dues would drive members away nor be a barrier to recruiting new ones.

Al Cohn stated the proposed 3-year membership of \$75 with a \$5 discount plus the push for Life memberships at \$250 would be a way for the Society to acquire more money. He stated this money could be put into certificates of deposit which would generate considerable revenue and help defray the expenses of the Society. He suggested that a member could pay his 3 year membership at one time for \$70 (\$5 discount) or take 3 years to pay for a life membership at \$250.00. The Finance Committee endorsed the proposals for a 3 year and life membership dues. All members present voted in favor of adopting the Finance Committee's report.

PUBLICATION OF THE 1976 PROCEEDINGS

James Inciardi reported that the editor of the Addictive Disease Journal is willing to set aside one entire issue of the Journal for papers presented at the 1976 Annual Meeting dealing with drugs and alcohol. This could be as many as 10 papers. He requested the Society appoint a special editor for that issue of the journal if they can fill an entire issue with papers. The special editor could then coordinate paper preparation, do necessary editing, and write an overview for that issue.

Bob Meier reported that he had talked with Sage Publications and they are interested in doing the proceedings with the Society. They would begin with this year and proposed 4 to 6 volumes of papers from the meeting. The volumes would be theme-oriented and there would be fewer papers in each book (4 or 5 papers depending on length). The volumes would be approximately 128-168 pages in length. The editors for these volumes would be chosen by a general series editor who would serve for a 3-year term to provide continuity. By January 1 the series editors should be selected and the manuscripts edited and submitted to the publisher for publication by June 30. They would do them immediate. They could have them out not later than the Society's next

year's meeting. They would be willing to run 2,000 copies of each of these books plus extras for authors and editors. There would not be any royalties to authors. They would publish 750 cloth and 1250 paper-back issues and ASC would be given royalties in the amount of 10%. The Society would be given a 25% pre-publication discount. Volumes would sell at \$4 to \$5 in paper; \$10 cloth. They are willing to go into a number of paperbacks and ASC would maintain their library purchase. Meier reported that the clear timetable and the use of a general series editor were good features to consider. Bill Parsonage stated a definitive time frame and a clear understanding on the part of the contributors when they would be published would be extremely beneficial.

Edith Flynn reported that the contracts for the '74 Proceedings with Praeger were not received until May of this year. She talked with them in '74 regarding the deadline for the proceedings and was told that they did not have the last volume of '73 in and wanted to wait before scheduling the '74 series.

Amos reported that Charles Wellford, 1977 Program Chairman, will have three co-chairs -- John Conrad, Ronald Akers and Duncan Chappell -- and each of these co-chairpersons will have the prerogative to act on a volume and serve as an editor, and he did not want to see this undercut. Al Cohn stated once again that the Publications Committee should seek proposals and make recommendations to the Executive Committee to proceed.

Ronald Akers stated he was not in favor of committees working this out. The Board must provide policy guidelines for the committees to operate with. One policy the Society would want to see such a committee carry out is that whoever they deal with that they not insist on primary publication rights. The papers should be free to appear in journals also; not in other books, but in other journals.

Charles Newman stated the Society has had the first right on refusal of papers. James Inciardi stated he would like to obtain a formal proposal from Praeger. The Publications Committee, along with Meier, Huff and Geis, could make a decision at that point if it had something from Praeger.

Joseph Scott suggested that the Committee proceed as far as they could and have proposals for the next Board meeting as to how they felt these matters should be handled in the future. In addition, they should obtain proposals from Praeger and Sage as to their willingness to comply with the committee's proposals.

Alvin Cohn stated the Society has enough "sense" in that Jim, Gil, Ron and Bob know where we have been in the past and at the November meeting, the Publications Committee can come back with a full report with procedures for the future. Gilbert Geis stated that the reason Sage was approached was that ASC is embarrassed to deal with Praeger; they still have the '74 and '75 proceedings to publish.

Edward Sagarin reported that Praeger Publishing is being sold within the next 10 days to Litton Industries. He added that he would not be so quick to disregard the Praeger series that has been started--not only because of the continuity but in addition, the fact that they have not been responsible for the time delays. He said the idea of joint paperback and hard cover copies of the proceedings was quite attractive and one that Praeger may not be able to offer in its special series. Sagarin said he would not be embarrassed to talk with the president of Praeger regarding this matter.

Geis asked for a recommendation. Sagarin stated he would like to wait about a month before making a decision on publishing the '76 Proceedings and would like the Executive Board to authorize the Publications Committee, along with the President, to

make the final decision. This would allow the Publications Committee to see what was going to happen with Praeger.

Alvin Cohn made a motion that the Publications Committee be mandated as a standing committee to be responsible for the publications of the proceedings, and that on a routine basis address itself to how the proceedings should be done and to negotiate possible contracts with any publishers it wants to. They should then produce a contract for the Executive Board's approval. The Committee should provide the program chairperson or persons the opportunity to edit one volume. Cohn suggested that the contract have a marketing clause, and that the date of publication be the responsibility of the Publications Committee but that every effort should be made to have the proceedings of the previous year published before the next Annual Meeting.

William Amos stated he was very sensitive to Ed Saragin's views. The way the Society is now extended coast to coast, it cannot wait to deal with such vital matters and authority must be delegated to the committee.

Cohn moved that the Publications Committee proceed with negotiations for a 1976 contract in consultation with the Executive Committee and prepare a complete set of guidelines and policy issues for consideration at the November Board meeting for adoption and that they have a contract with either Praeger or Sage for the Executive Board to consider. Seconded by Duncan Chappell. Motion carried.

Paul Friday stated he would not like to see this policy restricted to 1976. He made a motion that the policies proceedings be through the Publications Committee. The Publications Committee should take charge of all publications of the Society.

The motion was amended to exclude discussions for 1976 and include all proceedings from future meetings be handled through the Publications Committee and that the chairperson of the committee be responsible for editorship of at least 3 years to provide necessary continuity. William Amos stated he had no objection to this amendment with the provision that the 1977 agreements be honored with Akers, Conrad and Chappell, and does not preclude series editors. Motion passed.

Al Cohn stated that there are some significant policy issues that have to be addressed regarding timing and marketing. The Publications Committee should proceed as far as they can. They should report back with a full report at the November meeting in writing what the policies and guidelines are.

THE CRIMINOLOGIST

Cohn reported that the first issue of The Criminologist was out. The next issue is scheduled for September and the deadline for information to be placed in the December issue is October 15. Please forward any material to Cohn as soon as possible.

LAMBDA ALPHA EPSILON REQUEST FOR NEWSLETTER MERGER

Cohn reported he had received an inquiry from the president of Lambda Alpha Epsilon (LAE) regarding a possible newsletter merger between ASC and LAE. LAE has approximately 4,000 members (primarily law enforcement undergraduates) and wanted to explore the possibility of producing a newsletter, but maintain the identity of each organization. Cohn moved that the Board empower the Publications Committee to communicate with LAE about possible approaches and techniques to explore the possible merger of a newsletter. Paul Friday felt that this was premature since the first

issue of The Criminologist has just been published and that such a merger would complicate the issue of the newsletter with a group in general that he had never heard of. Amos stated he saw nothing wrong with gathering information. Motion died for a lack of a second.

Charles Newman stated this did raise an issue between ASC and a number of other associations, and he didn't know if ASC was prepared to enter into a dialogue with other organizations. In terms of getting down to sponsoring or cooperating on a newsletter however, he felt was a different issue. Newman urged that the particular point be addressed by a special committee or the Publications Committee be assigned that responsibility.

Gilbert Geis stated he would write to LAE regarding this matter for additional information.

William Amos suggested that the Society check with Sage Publications to see if they have some type of binder that could hold issues of The Criminologist.

1978 MEETING IN DALLAS

Amos stated that he knew the Society had a Site Committee which selects cities in advance, but the Society cannot continue to operate on the president-elect selecting the hotel. Amos stated he would like to propose for the '78 meeting in Dallas that a local arrangements committee is needed now to select the hotel and formulate the local arrangements. He would like for the Board to consider having a permanent committee on annual meetings working 5 years ahead of time in selecting the site and to select the hotel and make necessary reservations at least three years in advance. The local arrangements committee could then be appointed to work out local arrangements. Barbara Price stated she had drafted a memo to the President that a local arrangements group be appointed in each of these cities.

Bill Parsonage said the Site Committee had recommended that the Administrative Assistant manage and coordinate local arrangements as the Society has a central office where one person can maintain continuity on selection of sites and can work well in advance with groups identified to handle local arrangements. This would be a centralized function. It was agreed that before the meeting was over a local arrangements committee would be selected for Dallas and by the November Executive Board meeting action would proceed to select local arrangement committees through 1982.

Cohn questioned how the Society would proceed in identifying program chairpersons. Should the Society take another look at this and have the Board name the program chairperson? The President-Elect should be required to name the program chairperson. Charles Newman stated the President-Elect was the program chairperson up until two years ago.

Geis stated that sometime during Saturday's meeting, names would be presented to the Board for consideration to handle the Dallas local arrangements and that the Executive Board would proceed at the November Board meeting to carry through the 1982 meeting sites for local arrangement committees.

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

Meeting began at 9:00 a.m., Saturday, June 26.

CRIMINOLOGY REPORT

Ed Sagarin stated that he and Donal MacNamara took over the editorship of Criminology 11 months ago. The next issue of Criminology will be the August issue and the last issue edited by Charles Newman. Three of the 8 or 9 articles in that issue were selected by Sagarin and MacNamara. The November '76 issue will be the first issue planned entirely by Sagarin and MacNamara. In it, there will be signed editorials by Geis and Amos. Duncan Chappell will be in charge of the Book Review Department. They are attempting to get what they consider a balance between quantitative and qualitative articles. He reported that the material they have been receiving of an empirical nature is usually better than the qualitative material, although the qualitative articles are generally more relevant and interesting. In February, the Criminology Journal will be the first issue devoted to Corrections in Prisons. They hope that Sage will republish it as a book and make some royalty money for the Society. He reported that they receive approximately 15 articles a month and accept 15-20% of the articles they receive. The problem of getting answers to people submitting articles quickly remains a problem. The average time in reporting back to authors concerning publication is 2 or 3 months. The articles submitted come from a variety of disciplines. Sagarin was anxious to have a complete catch-up on all articles that have been recently submitted within the last few weeks. He asked members present to take one or two articles with them to review.

Sagarin reported that the larger problem involves the entire question of the length of time the new editor or editorial board works before it has its stamp on the journal. He reported that they will have been editors for 16 months before issuing their first journal. Sagarin suggested that when a change in editors is made again, two years from now, that the shift actually be made a year earlier. This would necessitate the new editors taking over the work of the Journal at about this time next year instead of two years from now. They would then have worked on the Journal for a one year "lag" period. He suggested that even though the present editors were relatively new that the Board give thought to their successor and begin the selection process soon.

Paul Friday stated maybe the policy should be the editorship does not run from date to date but runs from issue to issue. With the present editors, the first volume that they have had full responsibility for is the November 1976 issue and their responsibility could be to November 1979. When they have reached that point they should stop taking articles. The new editors would have already been appointed and would start getting the overflow.

It was suggested that an announcement be placed in the next issue of The Criminologist indicating that a year from now the Society would be appointing a new journal editor and that anyone that wanted to be considered for this job should indicate their desire and intentions to James Inciardi for consideration.

Geis requested that Sagarin and Newman prepare a statement of the issues that should be addressed in selecting an editor-elect and policy guidelines on rotating this responsibility.

WESTERN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

Amos reported that the Western Society of Criminology is holding their annual meeting in Las Vegas in February. It will be a 2-1/2 day affair at the Sahara Hotel. Geoff Alpert is program chairman and there will be five or six separate panels per

day. Amos suggested that since some of the ASC Board members have agreed to participate on a panel at the meeting, this would be an ideal time for an ASC Executive Board meeting. Amos suggested that members contact Goeffrey Alpert, Department of Sociology, University of Texas, if they want to appear on the program. John Conrad, Duncan Chappell, Charles Wellford, June Morrison, Edith Flynn and Harry Allen are already scheduled on the program.

TUCSON MEETING REPORT

The Tucson budget, which was distributed by mail to the members, was discussed. Ron Huff reported that there will be 48 regular sessions involving paper presentations and panel discussions. In addition, a game simulation session on Plea Bargaining will be conducted and a special debate between Tony Platt and Ernest van den Haag and a special session on "Psychiatry in the Court Room". Huff and Meier will be making a return trip to Tucson soon to finalize room assignments. The hotel has changed its name and staff. It is now known as the Marriott Hotel --not the Braniff Place-Tucson--and there is no problem in their honoring previous commitments. Professor June Morrison is the head of the Local Arrangements Committee. The Committee has been putting together special attractions for the meeting. A side trip to Nogales is planned and on Friday evening they have reserved Old Tucson and will have a western-style cookout. They are now in the process of preparing for publication of the final program with the printers. The art work for the program covers has been provided free of charge by the Tucson Convention Bureau. A sample of the printing and program were distributed to the Board for their examination. A preliminary program will be mailed to all ASC members in September. Final programs will be handed out for those who registered at the meeting. Those not registered will be sent a copy so they can write for papers as well as to give publishers more advertising distribution. There is somewhat of a problem in the distance between the Ramada Inn and Marriott Hotel, but a shuttle bus will be provided for continuous service between the hotels. Information has been mailed to 110 publishers concerning display booths and ads for the program. A follow-up mailing to publishers will be made in July to show supplemental rate structure. They have received favorable responses from Sage Publications, Lippincott, W. B. Saunders, Visage Press, and University of California Press. Huff and Meier would like to obtain more exhibitors and a request was made for Board members to contact publishers they were well acquainted with to encourage their participation. Visage Press will exhibit and will also handle other exhibitors space, if they so desire. Prices are as follows:

Full page ad and exclusive exhibit space	- \$250.00
Full page ad and shared exhibit space	- 175.00
Exhibit space only	- 150.00
Shared space only	- 100.00
Full-page ad only	- 150.00
Half-page ad only	- 100.00

They have received roughly \$800.00 commitments so far for advertising and exhibit space. A second mailing to publishers in July will include shared exhibitors space cost figures. Pre-registrations will be handled in July with the billing. Registration rates are the same as last year:

\$30.00 in advance for pre-registrations for members or \$40 at the convention.
 \$40.00 in advance for non-members or \$50.00 at the convention
 Students may register free.

The conference coordinator is Kent Alexander from the University of Arizona.

Huff suggested that it would be helpful in the future if the Annual Meeting budget could receive some additional funds to invite keynote speakers; there is no budget for that. If they could obtain \$500 it would be helpful.

The chairpersons were asked what portion of the \$30 registration fee would be spent on meal functions. Meier replied that it was contingent upon the numbers of participants. As the number of registrants increased, the proportion of the registration fee for meals would decrease. Meier indicated that within the next two months they planned to pin the hotel people down regarding the meal costs, but at this time the hotel has stated the meals would run between \$12 and \$13 per person. Charles Newman urged that the Arrangements Committee not see this as a "spend" budget in any way. Half of the amount projected would be more desirable if at all possible. Sagarin suggested that in talking in terms of the next several years the Society, wherever possible, ought to think of a meeting site where a university could pick up the costs of Xeroxing, student help, arrangements, etc. and suggested that the chairperson think in terms of the University of Arizona to help in this regard for this year. Edith Flynn suggested selecting a program person in the city where the conference will be held and where assistance could thus be easily obtained.

Geis reminded the members that the budget figure for Tucson was agreed to by the members and that Ron and Bob worked very hard to see what they could do within that figure.

Cohn stated the Board had taken two different actions that confront them: (1) planning ahead for 5 years in terms of site, and (2) the president-elect has the right of stamp of approval on what direction it is going and to name the program chairperson. We may have to come back and say the Board can't wait for the president-elect stamp of approval. He suggested that a program chairperson be appointed in the local site and assume the chairperson-elect will have some influence on the program. The appointed program chairperson must however deal with the president-elect. Ron Akers asked how the expenses were matched with the income: (1) how an estimation of income ahead of time was made and how efforts were made to keep expenses within that estimation, and (2) how efforts were made to try to get the best deal we can on all the things we need?

Sagarin moved that the Tucson Meeting budget and report be accepted with all comments being taken into consideration. Seconded by Al Cohn. Motion carried.

It was suggested that Huff and Meier compose a special series of letters addressed to chairman and professors at the universities in the California area to gain additional participants.

Cohn distributed information on group fares to Tucson from Washington, D.C., New York, and Chicago. The costs are based on a group of 40. Cohn requested he be permitted to proceed with publicity to develop affinity travel groups. Members present requested that he check also into flights from San Francisco and Seattle. He reported the travel agency would take care of the special mailing and he will publicize the information in The Criminologist. A Thursday departure date for Tucson was preferred by the members present. Cohn reported that if the full 40 count is reached, the Society would be given one free seat on the flight which could be used for a keynote speaker. Allen reported that Brian Forschner at the University of Dayton, Ohio, is proposing a bus trip to Tucson and distributed information regarding rates and proposed route. This information will also be published in The Criminologist.

1977 SOCIETY MEETING

Amos reported that the 1977 Annual Meeting will be November 16-20 at the Fairmont Colony Square Hotel, Atlanta, Georgia. Brochures describing the Fairmont were distributed. Amos reported that the reason for the third week in November being selected for the meeting is that the better hotels had already been booked for earlier dates in November and reasonable arrangements could therefore not be made for the traditional first weekend in November. He reported that the Fairmont was selected because they made an effort to accommodate the Society and would give the Society the rate of \$25.00 for a single room which normally runs over \$40. There is no problem in relation to the meeting rooms, he reported. The Fairmont has available at least 14 rooms that would handle from 75-125 for conference meeting rooms. Large session rooms can handle between 350-400. They have excellent entrance areas for book displays, a registration desk, and a small room available for a press room. Lunch and dinner will cost the Society comparable to other facilities; \$6 for lunch; \$11-12 for dinner. This is reflected in their service and rooms.

Charles Wellford is the Program Chairperson and the general approach is having three themes and having a person who is chairman of each theme. The themes and chairperson for each are: Ronald Akers, "Theory"; John Conrad, "Public Policy" and Duncan Chappell, "Evaluation". Each day will have a major theme, starting off with a preliminary session. Geis inquired if the Executive Board would like the Atlanta budget presented in November or to wait until a later date. Amos stated he needed to evaluate Tucson and would like to present the Atlanta budget in February. Cohn stated that there may be some issues with regard to what kind of temporary help, conference manager, etc. would be needed. Amos stated they would take care of that and requested names of colleagues in the area that he could contact for help. They are going to try to bring together the resources of the community, including state government, for help on the meeting. The program is well under way and they hope to have a finalized program ready for presentation to the Executive Board at the Tucson meeting. He suggested at Tucson it might be good to have the opportunity of meeting with the people that would be major panel chairpersons about the membership for their panels, etc. It was agreed that it was too soon to place an announcement in the September issue of *The Criminologist* regarding the meeting.

It was moved by Barbara Price and seconded by Joseph Scott that the report of the 1977 Program Meeting be accepted. Motion carried.

MUENSTER/SCHNEIDER MEETING

Paul Friday reported the dates for the Muenster meeting will be September 16-18, 1977. The meeting will be called "The International Symposium for Cooperative Criminology". ASC will be a co-sponsor of the Symposium. Friday reported that he and Joe Scott will act as co-chairs and will coordinate the Society's division. The panels will be broken down into four areas:

- 1) Discussion on Cohort Studies; Wolfgang will be on the panel. The panel will be fundamentally European consisting of representatives from Sweden, Copenhagen and Germany.
- 2) Alternative Strategies to Changes (includes diversion); no one has been selected.
- 3) National Trends in Crime (looking at the statistics and patterns of crime)
- 4) Catch-all category.

Friday reported that major participants' travel funds will hopefully be paid for by the Government of Westphalia. The conference is designed for 50 to 100 people. Schneider will meet with Amos in Dallas in August to get a final stamp of approval in terms of the program. He wants to arrange some visits to insitutions. There will be a meeting on Sex Roles and Crime in Vienna the week preceding the Muenster meeting. Friday will try to give a possible itinerary to those interested.

Friday also reported on some of the other things in regard to the International Criminology Committee. He indicated he was in Stockholm in April and attended the board meeting of the ISC as an ASC representative, and found the reception to be overwhelmingly positive. Nothing of significance came out of the meeting at that stage except the courtesy. They hold their meetings every five years. The 1978 conference was to be in Rome but they decided to abandon that idea. During the break, Friday reported he was approached and told they wouldn't mind coming to the U.S. for a meeting in the near future. This means nothing for us as a Society, he reported. However, a letter will be going out to the national representatives inviting their countries to make a request to host this international conference. The under-the-table feeling is that if the U.S. made an offer to host the meeting, it would be supported by the board.

It was moved by Harry Allen and seconded by Edith Flynn that the report on the Muenster Conference and on the ISA be accepted. Motion carried.

ASC FELLOWS

Joseph Scott reported he had contacted the members of the Executive Board regarding the number of Fellows in the Society and requested their help in determining who they are. In checking the records only one could be found--Professor W.H. Nagle of The Netherlands. Charles Newman stated there were approximately 20 Fellows in the Society and named the following as Fellows: Simon Dinitz, Donal E.J. MacNamara, Walter Reckless, Marvin Wolfgang, George Reed, Albert Morris, Thorsten Sellin and Charles Newman. Scott distributed a report on ASC Fellows and among the names nominated by Board members were Bruno Cormier, C. Ray Jeffery, and Gerhard Mueller. Scott recommended that a maximum of two Fellows be named at the Annual Meeting. John Conrad suggested an alternative might be to make the title Fellow one of the purposes of receiving an award; they automatically become a Fellow when they receive an award. Geis suggested the idea be sent to the Constitutional Review Committee and that they report back with a recommendation.

Amos stated he had been on the Constitutional Review Committee when the selection on Fellows was prepared and they followed the guidelines of the ASA and put the same steps primarily into it. The Executive Board reviewed it and it was the general attitude that the Society wanted to open this to senior members of the profession. A maximum of 12 each year could be so honored. He stated he had no objection to limiting it to one or two and bring some status to the position. The Board previously, however, rejected that. Akers replied his recollection regarding the intent of the Board was they voted on the title. This was not to be another kind of award, but another category of membership and available to those that wanted to be Fellows. It was he felt to be a restricted membership category. Sagarin suggested that with the Fellow award the dues be changed and increased by \$5.00 to every Fellow. Barbara Price suggested that letters to the people Charles Newman designated as Fellows be sent and asking them to confirm their Fellow status.

Geis charged the Secretary with finding out who are the Fellows in the Society and placing before the Executive Board at their next meeting two lists:

- 1) Who the Fellows are
- 2) A list of 12 people to be designated as Fellows.

The Board will vote on whether they will be charged an additional \$5 membership fee. Scott asked for suggestions on how 12 people should be selected. It was suggested an announcement could be placed in The Criminologist. Cohn suggested circulating the recommendations to the Board and taking it up at the November meeting, including the guidelines. Friday suggested that no Fellow awards be given this year. Geis called for some standards for the naming of later Fellows and present them in more leisurely fashion to the Board in November.

ACCREDITATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS

Inasmuch as Charles Wellford was unable to attend the meeting, Amos spoke on his behalf. Amos reported he had invited a number of members of the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences to his home and found that they are progressing quite rapidly in certain areas and accreditation is one of them. They have a battle plan laid out with a timetable and are talking to LEAA about certain funds. At a meeting in North Carolina, Amos reported he had talked to the chairman of the ACA Accreditation Committee and there is some feeling that there might be a willingness for a joint merger among professional associations on accreditation. There might be some kind of joint committee that would help the accreditation of criminal justice programs and the Society might want to explore this. ACA is willing to discuss a joint effort as is ACJS.

Akers stated he was at the meeting in Dallas and his reading is that this is an organization of essentially community colleges which offer law enforcement programs. They are, for the most part, not members of this organization and are the last people that you would want to set the standards for accreditation. They have not officially approached this organization or any of the other learned societies who set academic standards, yet they have established their battle plan and timetable. John Gruber stated there was an organization in California called CAGEY, composed of over 70 junior colleges who are setting standards. Friday stated there was no need to give more testimony to the threat. He was approached by the LEEP fund coordinator and presented with this plan. You have to justify what you are doing now because this is going to be the new LEEP guidelines. Perhaps if Wellford is heading the committee, the Board ought to request for the next Executive Board meeting a formal status report so that ASC can cooperate if they can't compete. Cohn suggested a meeting could be put together comprising Wellford, Dick Myren and himself to prepare a report on where we are.

Newman suggested thinking about the Society's relationship to other organizations rather than to try to pick up a piece-meal arrangement. LEEP is looking around, he reported. He indicated concern about the larger issue--how we relate to the other professional organizations. Amos stated we should be involved in accrediting operational agencies. The issue is are we going to do it or let someone else do it? Sagarin said a letter was circulated to the Executive Board and past presidents some time ago asking as an organization whether we take action in setting up an accreditation committee to study this issue. He reported that there were other societies other than the ACJS who ASC should work with and set up liaison and discuss this issue before going ahead.

Newman suggested an exploratory group be established to meet with regional or national accreditation societies to see what kinds of interest might be forthcoming from them.

Contact should be made with the university accreditation agencies rather than the criminal justice people. Geis asked if it was possible to: (a) charge Charles Wellford with presenting a written statement on the topic of accreditation to the next Executive Board meeting, and (b) include some specific recommendations on what the Executive Board should do. He indicated a need to have some recommendation from this committee. Kittrie reminded the Board that in the previous year, Dick Myren chaired a committee looking into this and he has expertise and background in this area. He suggested that Wellford might want to contact Myren for support and help.

It was moved by Gilbert Geis and seconded by Ron Akers that Charles Wellford be mandated to present as soon as possible, and no later than October 15, a statement on accreditation including some very specific recommendations for the Executive Board's consideration at the Tucson meeting and for Wellford to inform himself on what the plans of the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences are. Motion carried.

AWARDS COMMITTEE

Copies of the Awards Committee Report prepared by Daniel Katkin were distributed. Akers stated the description for the Edwin H. Sutherland Award and the August Vollmer Award were inaccurate in Katkin's report. They should be as follows:

The Edwin H. Sutherland Award: This award is to recognize outstanding contributions to theory or research in criminology on the etiology of criminal and deviant behavior, the criminal justice system, corrections, law, or justice. The distinguished contribution may be based on a single outstanding book or work, on a series of theoretical or research contributions, or on the accumulated contributions by a senior criminologist.

The August Vollmer Award: This award is to recognize outstanding contributions to justice or to the control, treatment, or prevention of criminal and deviant behavior. The distinguished contribution may be based on a single major effort by a social activist or leading practitioner in the criminal justice system, the police, judiciary, or corrections, a series of contributions to control, treatment, prevention, or justice over a period of time, or on the accumulated contributions during the lifetime of a distinguished practitioner, judge, lawyer, policeman, or activist.

Nominees for the Sellin-Glueck Award include Leon Radzinowicz, Inkeri Antilla, and Shlomo Shoham. The Committee unanimously recommended Professor Radzinowicz.

Following discussion, a motion was made by Alvin Cohn and seconded by William Amos to approve the recommendation of Professor Radzinowicz for the Sellin-Glueck Award. Motion carried.

Previous winners of the Sellin-Glueck Award were Ferracuti in '74 and Nigel Walker in '75.

A motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Alvin Cohn that no money be involved to support travel to the conference for an award winner. Motion passed.

The Herbert Bloch Award for outstanding services to the Society itself and to the profession: The Nominations Committee nominated William Amos and Fred Hogan for the Bloch Award with a recommendation that Mr. Hogan receive the award.

Charles Newman stated that the feeling on this award was it did not have to be given out every year and he would personally urge that the Bloch Award not be given this year.

A motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Ronald Akers that the Herbert Bloch Award not be given this year. Motion carried.

The Edwin H. Sutherland Award: Nominees for the Sutherland Award include Austin Turk, Norval Morris, Samuel Yochelson, Stephen Shaffer and Edwin Powers. The Committee was unanimous in its decision to recommend Professor Shaffer.

Alvin Cohn proposed two additional nominees for consideration: Ed Schur and Daniel Glaser; seconded by Edith Flynn. Following a vote of the Executive Board, the winner of the Sutherland Award is Daniel Glaser.

The August Vollmer Award: Nominees for the August Vollmer Award included James Inciardi, Pat Wald, Edwin Powers, and Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein of the Washington Post. The Committee was unanimous in its decision to recommend Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein.

Edith Flynn recommended William Nagel as a nominee for the August Vollmer Award. Following a vote of the Executive Board, the winner of the August Vollmer Award is Pat Wald.

Joseph Scott recommended that Professor Leon Radzinowicz be invited to be the keynote speaker at the Annual Meeting. Arnold Trebach said he would be seeing Professor Radzinowicz next week and would be happy to invite him on behalf of the Society.

1974-1975 MONOGRAPH SERIES

Kittrie reported that the Society has had an agreement with Praeger for 2-3 years to publish the proceedings and they have done it in four volumes. The proceedings of 1974 are in the form of four volumes--Reform and Corrections; The Old Criminology and the New; Criminal Justice Planning and a volume on Ethics and Morals in Criminal Justice. Copies of the contract have been circulated to the editors of these volumes for their signature. Kittrie stated he did not know how many of these volumes had been submitted to Praeger. Edith Flynn reported she had received her contract in May and had contacted Praeger. Praeger told her they did not want her volume until September or October of this year as they were still waiting for the 1973 proceedings. Allen reported he is in the process of retyping and editing materials he received and this should be completed within two weeks. He has two articles which they are considering, one which has been published in another journal. The author has suggested he would like to see his paper published in the proceedings and a letter has been written to the publisher for permission to do this.

The 1975 proceedings were discussed with regard to whether the Society would request people to give ASC the right of first refusal, but would not make it mandatory. On March 24, a letter was sent from Trebach to the Executive Committee laying out a plan to get wide approval and the reaction was favorable. A letter was received from Geis and Allen to go ahead with the planned five volumes: Juvenile Delinquency, Police, Corrections, Theory and Research, and Drugs and Crime. Trebach told Praeger to contact the Society's President regarding the contract for the five volumes. Geis suggested the possibility of seeing what Praeger wants to do in the future and comparing it with Sage. Sagarin stated he had talked to the president of Praeger and was told that they are very happy with the ASC series and would like to continue it.

A motion was made by Arnold Trebach and seconded by Edward Sagarin to maintain a central depository at the ASC national office for all committee yearly reports to be furnished by chairpersons. Motion carried.

Trebach suggested that some kind of guidebook be put together for the use of program chairpersons in the future. He suggested it be a series of loose-leaf notebooks and each program chairperson contribute to the notebooks. Trebach, without cost to the Society, will put together a loose-leaf notebook with dividers on his material from Toronto and will forward to the current program chairpersons for their meeting materials.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT

Harry Allen called the members' attention to the Membership Committee report distributed to them in the mail. He stated each non-member participant attending the Tucson convention will be asked to join the Society. Newman suggested some policy decision be made by the Society regarding what constitutes a student membership. He suggested that they have to be a full-time student and certified by the Registrar's Office that they are indeed a full-time student.

Allen requested approval by the Board for the admittance to ASC membership of the 50 names submitted on June 1 and for the 77 names submitted today for people who joined through June 25th. Approval was granted by the Board.

A motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Barbara Price to accept the Membership Committee Report and the May and June lists of applications for membership with the understanding that the student lists will be reviewed. Motion carried.

PLACEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

Parsonage distributed copies of the Placement Committee report. Parsonage requested Board approval for pre-registration for students manning the employment service desk and providing them with meal tickets, as was the case last year. This would involve four to six students and is included in the \$2,000 allotted for the conference. Approval was given. He reported that four complete sets of announcements (on pressure-sensitive forms) will be available at the employment desks and spaces for four to six interview areas will be set up and a message board provided.

RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE REPORT

John Conrad reported his committee is organized and working but has not received any draft resolutions on Legislation and Public Policy as requested in the first issue of The Criminologist. He wanted to know if the Board wanted his committee to continue on the matter. It was agreed that the Resolutions Committee should continue its efforts. Cohn suggested that the Resolutions Committee draft letters that would go out to appropriate organizations and officials requesting their help concerning resolutions under consideration. Newman requested that the resolutions be grammatically correct and that the position that the Society takes should be on what it knows instead of what it feels. He stated his belief that resolutions should be restricted to topics appropriate to the Society on which the Society has scientific knowledge.

Following a brief discussion, a motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Joseph Scott that the Resolutions Committee report be accepted and that it continue its work. Motion carried.

NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT

Kittrie reported on April 14th that a memo had been distributed to the Executive Board requesting nominations for officers; two names for each position. On May 7th, a memo was sent to the paid active membership of the Society presenting them with a slate of officers and inviting further nominations for the next two months. Kittrie was unable to get a positive response from Jim Hackler in time for the nominations' report to go out to the membership and replaced his name with the name of Alvin Cohn. After the May 7th memo was distributed, Kittrie received notice from Hackler that he would be willing to run for the position of Council Member and Kittrie questioned the Board if Hackler's name could now be added to the present list of four Council Members. Akers replied that the Constitution does not say the Nominating Committee makes the final decision and the procedure should be for the Board to give final approval to the slate of officers. The slate of officers presented to the membership for the 1976-77 election is as follows:

President : John P. Conrad and C. R. Jeffery
 Vice President: Arnold S. Trebach and Margaret A. Zahn
 Council Member: Stanley Brodsky, Duncan Chappell, Alvin Cohn
 and Graeme R. Newman

A motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Harry Allen to accept the nominations of John P. Conrad and C. R. Jeffery for the office of President in the forthcoming 1976-1977 election. Motion carried.

A motion was made by Harry E. Allen and seconded by Edith Flynn to accept the nominations of Arnold Trebach and Margaret Zahn for the office of vice president in the forthcoming 1976-1977 election. Motion carried. (Yes, 5; Opposed, 4)

A motion was made by Edward Sagarin and seconded by Edith Flynn to accept five names which would include James Hackler, Stanley Brodsky, Duncan Chappell, Alvin Cohn and Graeme R. Newman for the office of Executive Counselor. William Amos suggested the names of Lee Brown and Benjamin Ward for consideration as Executive Counselors. A motion was made by Amos to increase the nominations to 6 for Executive Counselors; seconded by Edith Flynn; motion carried. (Hackler, Brodsky, Chappell, Cohn, Newman and Ward).

A second voting on the nominations of vice president candidates was held as two voting members were out of the room at the time. A motion was made by Barbara Price and seconded by Elmer Johnson to accept the nominations of Arnold Trebach and Margaret Zahn for the office of Vice President in the forthcoming 1976-77 election. Yes, 6; Opposed, 6; motion fails for lack of majority.

A motion was made by Edith Flynn and seconded by Joseph Scott to add the name of Barbara Price to the nominations for Vice President. A motion was made by Ronald Akers and seconded by Edith Flynn to add the name of Don Gottfredson to the nominations for Vice President.

A motion was made by Ronald Akers and seconded by Edith Flynn that the slate for the office of Vice President consist of Arnold Trebach, Margaret Zahn, Barbara Price, and Don Gottfredson, with the understanding that if Gottfredson declines, the Board will have a back-up slate of the remaining three nominees. Motion carried. (Yes, 10; Opposed, 2).

A motion was made by Edward Sagarin and seconded by Joseph Scott that should any one of the four nominees for the office of Vice President decline, the remaining nominees will be the full slate. Motion carried.

President Geis reported that Geoffrey Alpert and Mary Almore will be contacted to see if they would be willing to serve as local arrangements committee for the 1977 meeting in Dallas with the understanding that they would select the people to serve with them.

A motion was made by Edward Sagarin and seconded by Edith Flynn that the Secretary, Joseph Scott, and Treasurer, Harry Allen, be officially thanked by the Executive Board for the hospitality extended last evening. Motion carried.

SITE SELECTION COMMITTEE REPORT

Parsonage distributed copies of the Site Selection Committee Report. It was the unanimous recommendation of the Committee to the Board that Kansas City, Missouri, be the city site for the 1982 meeting of the Society. The Committee recommended Miami, Florida area as an alternate site. An additional recommendation to the Board from the Committee was "because of the growth of the Society and the increasing need for advanced planning and arrangements for facilities to accommodate its meetings, the Committee recommends that the Administrative Officer of the Society be charged with the responsibility of managing and coordinating local arrangements for annual meetings at city locations identified by the Board.

Amos proposed an '82 meeting be held in Mexico as a great number of the Society's members are Spanish-speaking.

A motion was made by Geis and seconded by Amos that the Board accept the site of Kansas City for the 1982 meeting and tentatively explore a Mexican site for the 1983 meeting. Motion carried.

It was recommended that the Executive Board come forth at the November meeting with suggestions for future local arrangements committees in cities designated through 1982.

STUDENT AWARDS REPORT

John Gruber reported that he has received between 30 and 35 papers for the Student Awards competition and that he is in the process of finalizing evaluation and judging procedures. He hopes to have as many as five to seven referees working on the program. The papers will be judged on a double-blind basis. The bulk of the work will be done by the end of August. Gruber will be able to report back to the Committee sometime in October.

Sagarin suggested the rankings be sent in unopened to a committee that would open them. Gruber stated he would not know whose paper he was ranking as they would all be number coded. After the judging is decided, the numbers will be matched up with appropriate names. He reported that his secretary has been instructed to remove any institutional or author identification as well as acknowledgements from papers submitted. Geis suggested that at the conclusion of the student awards, all raw data be submitted to the national office for filing, including the referee ratings and the final statement of how they reached their decision.

REQUESTS FOR TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENTS

a) Margaret Zahn has submitted once again expenses for her AAAS meeting in Boston which was previously denied at the February 14th Board meeting. Following a brief discussion, a motion was made by Nicholas Kittrie and seconded by Edith Flynn to deny the request for payment of expenses for the AAAS meeting to Margaret Zahn. Motion carried.

b) Paul Friday requested reimbursement of travel expenses in the amount of \$295.96 for his Stockholm to Paris travel expenses to attend the ISC meeting in Paris as the ASC representative. Following a brief discussion, a motion was made by William Amos and seconded by Edith Flynn that the Society disallow payment to Paul Friday regarding travel expenses to the ISC meeting. Motion carried.

AUDIT OF 1974-75 ASC BOOKS

In the Treasurer's Report a motion was to include \$125 for the audit of the 1974-75 ASC books by a non-CPA who would make the statement to the best of his knowledge regarding the expenses and income. The Finance Committee considered it at greater length. A CPA would audit the books for \$600; a non-CPA at \$25 a hour for a maximum of 5 hours, and agreed to an audit of the books at the \$125 figure. Trebach suggested that the price of auditing the books go higher and that Allen be authorized to have the books audited and a chart of accounts be set up for the future with a figure of \$300.00 allotted for this expense, and that any check of \$250.00 would be signed by both the President and the Treasurer.

A motion was made by William Amos and seconded by Edith Flynn that any ASC check over \$250.00 be signed by the Treasurer and the President, and the Treasurer be authorized to spend a maximum of \$250 on the audit of the 1974-75 books. Motion carried.

NEXT EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

Geis stated he would not have another Executive Board meeting prior to the meeting in Tucson and would like to have the President-Elect preside at the pre-business meeting to formulate his policies.

The Board approved giving certificates to the Executive Board members and a plaque to the out-going President will be paid by the treasury.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph E. Scott, Executive Secretary