

Minutes of the Meeting of the Executive Committee
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

New York University
Vanderbilt Hall

September 28, 1968

PRESENT:

G. O. W. Mueller	President
Bruno Cormier	President-Elect
Donal E. J. MacNamara	Vice-President
Samuel A. Kramer	Secretary-Treasurer
Walter Reckless	
C. Ray Jeffery	
Jacob Chwast	
Joseph Satten	
Simon Dinitz	Criminologica
Christine Schultz Allen	Criminologica
Ralph Susman	

PRESIDING: G. O. W. Mueller
SECRETARY: Miss Judith Chazen

The President distributed copies of the new agenda for consideration by members of the Executive Committee, and welcomed them all to Vanderbilt Hall.

Motion was made and approved to accept the minutes of the April 7, 1968 Executive Committee meeting.

Dr. Kramer then called attention to Minutes of April 7, page 8, Bloch Award and asked whether it had not been decided at that time to discontinue.

The President replied that since the Awards Committee had only recommended two awards, motion was made to discuss this under agenda item G.

Agenda items A (Welcome) and B (Plans for the Day) having been dealt with, the meeting then moved to discussion of agenda item C (Constitution).

The Secretary-Treasurer reported that as a result of the mailing in Criminologica, 178 postcards were returned to him and that everyone of these approved of the Constitution. There were no negative votes received by him. There were two abstentions.

On the basis of the vote, the President declared that the Constitution was accepted and that the Society now operates under the new and approved Constitution. The President directed that the Constitution be published in Criminologica's next available issue. Dr. Kramer suggested that it be printed instead of being put in Criminologica. The President further suggested that it be put in Criminologica and reprinted therefrom and sent out.

Dr. Reckless stated that publication in Criminologica would make it permanent.

It was decided that this question will be resolved by the Editors of Criminologica and the President to see what would be the best possible solution of how to get the Constitution before the membership.

The Editors of Criminologica will definitely order 500 reprints of the Constitution.

Agenda Item D: Appointment of Secretary
by President under terms of
the approved Constitution

As a result of the approved Constitution, positions for further appointed officers become available. So far we have only had one officer

designated as both Secretary and Treasurer. Under the new Constitution, we now have two such positions, one Treasurer and one Secretary. The President's choice for the office of Secretary would be Mr. Ralph Susman who enjoys the President's confidence and has contributed much to the Society and who would assist the President for the remainder of his term. Dr. Cormier, as the President to take office thereafter, could either re-confirm this appointment or make a new one, both as to Secretary and Treasurer, who both serve at the pleasure of the President.

Agenda Item E: Executive Councillors

Since the appointment of executive councillors is a matter of permanence and importance, the President stated that he would not like to make the appointments alone and asked for the suggestions of the Committee. He stated further that this did not have to be done at the Executive Committee meeting but asked that they be sent to him. The slate of names would be submitted to the Committee for final approval.

Agenda Item F: Nominating Committee

Nominations are now in the hands of the Executive Committee. After consultation with the Executive Committee the President has been persuaded that Dr. Albert Morris would be a good choice for President. The President invited comments from the Executive Committee.

Dr. Reckless stated that he considered Dr. Morris a dedicated person and a "lone wolf" in Boston for many years and that he well deserved the position. He thought that Dr. Morris would be a good man for the Society to have as President.

Dr. MacNamara supported his previous suggestion regarding Dr. Morris' nomination as President.

Professor Mueller noted that surprise had been expressed over Dr. Morris nomination since he did not come out of the "inner circle." He stated that he thought this was a good thing since the inner circle should be expanded.

Dr. Mac Namara suggested that a call be placed to Dr. Morris during the meeting to be sure of his acceptance.

Dr. Kramer asked about Dr. Morris' interest and activity in the Society and Professor Mueller stated that to his knowledge Dr. Morris was present at most of the annual meetings and delivered good papers and could be counted on to do a good job. Dr. Reckless stated that he showed interest in the Society by helping to squelch a movement to form a counter-society.

Dr. Mac Namara recalled Dr. Morris' vice-presidency of the Society about fifteen years ago.

Professor Mueller stated that he was one of the few people who took the trouble to get to the Berkeley meeting so he could participate and Dr. Mac Namara stated that he was President of the Massachusetts Correction Association and Vice-President of the American League to Abolish Capital Punishment.

Professor Mueller noted that by virtue of his diverse memberships he might be able to draw other societies closer to us. The President further noted that although he had no basis for prediction, he thought Dr. Morris would devote considerable time to the affairs of the Society.

Dr. Mac Namara called Dr. Morris and conveyed the Society's offer to him and Dr. Morris accepted the honor.

Agenda Item G: Toronto Conference

The names of those to appear on the Student Panel which will now be titled "Research Contributions in Criminology" have been finalized. They are:

Christine Schultz Allen and Harry Allen	Ohio State
Richard Kuehn and Ed Sagarin	CCNY
Leon Shaskolski	University of Dayton
Robert P. Barry	NYU

Exact titles of papers will be supplied by Christine Schultz Allen for printing of the Final Program of the Conference.

Dr. Cormier mentioned that in planning the panels for the conference they had wanted an Israeli panel with Zvi Hermon and Shlomo Shoham. A tentative invitation was sent to both.

It was then decided to hold such a panel in Montreal but not under the aegis of the ASC.

In planning such a Montreal Israeli panel Dr. Dinitz suggested Menachim Amir who will be in Berkeley and Leon Shaskolski who will also be at Berkeley as well as Sternberg from Pittsburgh.

It was suggested that degree designations be dropped but Dr. Cormier stated that interdisciplinary programs needed to have them. The Executive Committee agreed and the final program will have identifying degrees at the proper places.

Sessions I and II were approved as they appear on the tentative program with corrections.

Sessions III was then discussed and Dr. Satten suggested that the order be changed to Panelists, Discussants and Rapporteur. This was accepted.

Suggestion was made that a Rapporteur be assigned to each session and Dr. Cormier will take care of this.

After discussion it was decided that Dr. Kramer will be responsible for the printing of the Final Program. Dr. Cormier will make the final decision on the discussion which took place over the word "rapporteur."

Session IV: Professor Mueller accepted the role of discussant but would like to read panelists papers first.

Session IV was then accepted as prepared.

It was decided that Professor Mueller would complete his term of the Presidency by presiding at the Awards Dinner.

Session V:

Dr. Satten will give Dr. Cormier the institutional affiliations of his panelists.

Dr. Dinitz felt this panel was of such significance that it should not be on Sunday morning. However, it was noted that many Sunday panels were extremely well attended.

Dr. Mac Namara noticed that there was no Business Meeting scheduled on the tentative program. This was corrected and the Business Meeting scheduled on the tentative program. This was corrected and the Business Meeting is now scheduled for Friday, November 1st 7-8 p.m.

Dr. Chwast suggested that the title for Session V. was rather limiting and after discussion the title was changed to "A Psychiatric View of Violence."

It was further decided to amend the tentative program by adding an Executive Committee Breakfast Meeting at 8 a.m. However, it was not deemed necessary to have the printed program reflect this. It is only important that the Executive Committee is aware of it.

The Tentative Program was then accepted and the President congratulated all who had prepared it.

Dr. Cormier made mention of the social events of the conference as they appear on the program and Professor Mueller asked that student aides be available at all sessions to take care of last minute details.

Dr. Satten asked for information as to whether invited guests had to pay \$20 registration fee. Discussion followed and it was decided that the Society will pay the amount of \$10 to cover part of the registration but guests would pay \$10 to cover dinners. Students would be in the same category and pay \$10 for dinners, etc. Registration fee would thereby be waived. Tickets will be printed in different colors for the various events.

The President conveyed his regards and congratulations to Hans Mohr for his work on the program.

Agenda Item I/J Criminologica

Ralph Susman reported that some progress had been made but due to scheduling problems his committee could not meet. He reported that in a letter from Kraus Reprint Company they have indicated that they are prepared to finalize negotiations in the near future for reprinting all issues of the journal. They would give 15% of the net royalties and absorb all cost involved. They asked for back issues.

Professor Mueller asked if Kraus would handle distribution and Mr. Susman stated that they are primarily a reprint and not publishing house. But they do handle distribution and will under special agreement. Professor Mueller then asked for the financial arrangements. Mr. Susman said these would have to be worked out. Dr. Kramer thought the prices were too high, and Mr. Susman replied that several other firms had been contacted such as Paterson Smith and Johnson but they were not interested. Professor Mueller thought we should go ahead and make firm offer.

Professor Mueller asked that during negotiations the committee should make sure that all back issues are reduced to the same size for binding. Professor Mueller also expressed the feeling that the Committee should shop around some more for reprint houses.

The President then appointed the Secretary and Treasurer to negotiate together with Kraus. They agreed to do this.

Christine Schultz Allen reported that she had made tentative inquiries with printers on prices with reset type and reduced size. The cost would be about \$15 per page. Mrs. Allen will send her data to the Secretary and Treasurer to aid them in their negotiations.

Change of Editorship-CRIMINOLOGICA

A number of universities were offered the opportunity. Ohio State would like to part with the journal at this point. The President thought

it was perhaps wise for the journal to remain identified with the Society more than with an individual university and a rotation of its editorship therefore was beneficial. He offered the facilities of New York University and Dr. C. Ray Jeffery of the Graduate School of Public Administration to house it. This would be done under the aegis of the CLEAR Center which could conceivably give some small financial support if that became necessary. The President made mention of the considerable criminological talent at New York University and noted for the record that Dr. Jeffery had consented to become Editor on behalf of the Society, if that met with the Society's approval.

Drs. Mac Namara and Dinitz expressed approval of this change of editorship.

It was approved and decided that NYU and Dr. Jeffery would take over Criminologica with Volume 7, Number 1, May issue, 1969. Notice will be placed in the Journal that all further manuscripts should be sent to NYU. Announcement of this change will also be made at the Toronto congress.

Dr. Satten replied to the question of when membership should start and it was agreed that new members should receive back issues to complete the volume and a copy of the Constitution and then the regular next commencing volume. This generally would relate to two back issues.

Details of publication were discussed and it was noted that printers bills were sent directly to the Treasurer, the mailing of the journal was done by the Editors and the bills for the cost of envelopes, etc., were sent to the Treasurer.

Dr. Kramer asked whether change of editorship also meant change of printer. The President suggested that the new editor, Dr. Jeffery, sit down with the Ohio State editorial staff and discuss details so that decision on whether or not to change printers could then be made.

Dr. Dinitz thought a new printer should be found, one who was more professional in this respect, and Professor Mueller stated that he would get in touch with Fred B. Rothman and Company.

It was noted for the record that the cost of each issue in the volume so far was \$690, for 75 pages including mailing costs.

Dr. Reckless noted that in preparation of abstracts the NCCD did not mention Criminologica and Professor Mueller said he would contact Dr. Hess to straighten this out, although it was noted that Dr. Hess will not be in the country after November 15.

Dr. Dinitz suggested that all matters concerning the Journal should be in one place, and Dr. Jeffery mentioned that in some cases journals were mailed directly from the publisher.

Professor Mueller thought if the publisher could handle direct mailing the Treasurer would then send labels to the printers so they could send the journals out. Dr. Reckless said that the main thing was integration and that the journal should be identified with the Editors rather than with the Secretary or Treasurer.

Dr. Jeffery noted that many journals list one place to send manuscripts and one business correspondence address.

Christine Schultz Allen asked whether the cover should be changed and the President thought not. Mrs. Allen suggested that the paper stock be changed for more effective printing of the cover design.

Professor Mueller suggested that the new editor think about the question of whether the journal should rotate at the end of three or four years and whether it would be feasible to change the format to include one special issue dealing with one topic.

Dr. Reckless volunteered his services to put out a special issue if it happened that there were an excess of papers. He stated he would also try to obtain money for a special issue.

Dr. Dinitz noted for the record that since the journal now had sufficient stature, it could command articles and put out a volume with the papers and discussions of the annual meeting.

Professor Mueller suggested that the new editor be given a chance at the business session in Toronto to make pitch for new papers and suggested also that the new editor send out letters to whomever he chooses to invite the largest possible professional audience to contribute papers.

Mrs. Allen suggested that when people register in Toronto they be asked if they would purchase such a special volume so that we have an indication of pre-orders.

Dr. Satten suggested that we consider the journal in relation to membership. He personally would rather publish for membership rather than for sale of the specific issue of the journal.

Dr. Chwast thought it might be possible to initiate a series rather than a special issue of the journal.

Dr. Kramer inquired about the subscription rate to Criminologica. He thought you should only get it as a member at \$10 with no rate just for subscription to Criminologica.

Professor Mueller thought the Society should keep the subscription rate of \$8.50 for Criminologica only.

Ralph Susman noted discussion on the above issue on pages 15 and 16 of the April 7 meeting.

THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH

The meeting was reconvened after lunch with the addition of two agenda items:

T Proposals for the Site of the 1969 meeting

U Treasurer's Report

In addition, Item G, Awards Committee, was entirely removed from the agenda.

Dr. Kramer raised a question about Item G, the wording of the awards, and Professor Mueller said he would take the matter under advisement with members of the Awards Committee.

The President reported to the Committee in passing that on the date of the assassination of Robert F. Kennedy he was in the town in Israeli-occupied Jordan where Sirhan Sirhan was born. He saw the Israeli patrols looking for Sirhan's relatives. As President of the Society he sent a telegram to Attorney General Ramsey Clark and suggested names of criminologists, members of the ASC, to sit on the commission investigating the assassination. Clark never replied.

Agenda Item K: Membership Committee

Dr. Satten said something was troubling him about membership. He said that the ASC was an interdisciplinary group and therefore had special problems. He said since psychiatrists and others were members in their special professional organizations and many other profession-connected organizations, he would like to see the number of professional memberships cut down by arranging some kind of affiliations and meetings.

Dr. Mac Namara noted that others faced the same problems in relation to relation to many meetings and Dr. Reckless stated that many organizations affiliate and meet together.

The question was raised as to whether it would be worthwhile for the Society to experiment with joint meetings. If ASC could affiliate with organizations such as AAAS and AOA this would reduce the time and travel as well as money involved in belonging to so many organizations.

Dr. Satten offered two points for discussion:

1. Informal discussions with American Correctional Association and NCCD with the possibility of some sort of merger or some more practical working together. This might ultimately lead to a strong association of professionals in criminology which may be worthy of the exploration of the Society.

2. Affiliations should be made with organizations with the same aims and goals. At different stages of the organization there might be value in different kinds of affiliations.

Dr. Mac Namara stated that he had made contact with other organizations in the past and never had satisfactory contacts. Some of the other professional meetings were good for the criminologists to go to get the flavor of their material but they are not particularly interested in them. We have had long time contact with NCCD.

Discussion ensued on the problem of belonging to many organizations. The President expressed the concern of the Society with this problem on many occasions but mentioned that Dr. Satten circumscribed it very well at this point. There is now a re-grouping of human knowledge. We are now in the mainstream of it.

The re-grouping is much more functional. The President is convinced that this new happening needs some studying and that relationships with other organizations need reexamination. He would, therefore, like to appoint an Executive Study Committee to examine this relationship and to report back to the Committee. The President will check on this with Dr. Hess who has already explored relationships with the UN and International Association of Penal Law as well as NCCD.

Dr. MacNamara was persuaded to accept membership on this Committee and the Secretary, Ralph Susman, also will serve. The Committee will make a study and from time to time report to the Executive Committee.

Dr. Mac Namara stated that the more immediate problem was the meeting with the AAAS. It will be at Dallas, Texas, December 28, Hilton Hotel, Gold Room. The morning session will be chaired by Ed Sagarin, entitled "problems of Juvenile Delinquency." The afternoon convention program is chaired by Louis Berkowitz of the Educational Alliance on "Problems of Delinquency" and Institutions Servicing Core Areas and Decadent Metropoli." Announcement of this will appear in Criminologica.

The American Orthopsychiatric Association meeting will be in March of 1969. Dr. Bernard Diamond will be chairman. The subject will be "Human Rights vs. Property Rights from a Criminological and Psychiatric Point of View." Dr. Mac Namara will arrange it. Dr. Mac Namara asked for voluntary participation in the program.

Dr. Chwast mentioned that there will be a workshop on Mental Health Consultation with agencies and institutions serving delinquents. A number of people from different disciplines representing different services will participate.

Dr. Reckless thought the Society had to get a message across of a parallel or secondary identification. He hoped that the Affiliations Committee could find some rationale or some way of approaching interested psychoanalysts, sociologists and criminal lawyers with this.

Dr. Kramer felt we could be selective and ask individuals to become members. Dr. Mac Namara felt that this is a professional organization whose members should have specific criteria for membership.

Dr. Chwast said there was an ethical code. If a person meets requirements of the Society and does not get membership he has a right to redress. Professor Mueller noted that the Executive Committee had a right to impose disciplinary measures.

Dr. Kramer felt that the Secretary should be empowered to accept the membership of persons except those about whom he has a suspicion and he should submit those names to the Executive Committee to handle. Mr. Susman felt that this places an inordinate amount of power in the hands of the Secretary. Dr. Satten stated that if you change the semantics there could be a Membership Committee which would have the responsibility of deciding on applications. It was noted for the record that requirements for membership are cited on page 4 of the Constitution and should be abided by.

Dr. Kramer will summarize opinions regarding membership requirements and send copy to Secretary who will then transmit to all others. The Secretary will send out a form postcard to applicants for membership, notifying them that their applications are pending and that a vote will be taken at the next Executive Committee meeting (Toronto).

Dr. Satten suggested that when acknowledging applications you should refer to the By-Laws of the Constitution and mention the date of the next meeting of the Executive Committee at which vote would be taken and notify applicant that that would hear nothing before then.

Dr. Kramer then submitted the Treasurer's Report as to membership:

Since last November's meeting, we have received 31 new members to add to our membership roll of 245.

There are nearly 80 who have not yet paid their dues from last year but are still continued on the rolls and continue to get Criminologica. New memberships represent an increase in membership of over 10%.

Agenda Item L: History of the Society

Fourteen pages on the History of the Society are ready. The President suggested it would be nice if the Constitution and the History of the Society were published together. When Dr. Mac Namara finishes his first draft he will circulate it amongst the Executive Committee members to see if there are any inclusions or additions.

The Secretary then was instructed to keep the archives of the Society and materials for the archives were turned over to him.

Item M: Relations with the United Nations

The United Nations Social Defence Section Chief, Dr. Kahale, solicits the help of the Society in preparing the Tokyo Congress. The President will meet with him in the not-too-distant future.

Mr. Susman then reported about travel arrangements for Tokyo:

He reported that he had contacted 16 airlines and received answers from eight of these. He received the most complete information for Northwest Airlines as follows:

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE. Prices should be reduced in the next few years.

Airline will provide aircraft seating 165 passengers Boeing 707. They could accommodate fewer but the cost per passenger would then rise. Flight originating in New York or Philadelphia or D. C. and making one stop on the West Coast to pick up persons who are closer to there. Leaving from the East Coast, stopping at Seattle, non-stop therefrom to Tokyo, \$78,000 per plane or minimum of 150 persons, \$520 per person. With 165 persons the cost would come down somewhat. Meals and complimentary bar are included. Minimum rate for 70 person group. \$700.00

Trans-International submitted the following:

250 person DC-8, 250 persons New York to Tokyo
\$97,991 or \$392.00 per person
with stops in Chicago and Oakland
\$110,701 or \$443.00 per person.
Also including meals and complimentary bar.

We would not be prohibited from a co-sponsorship with any other professional organization. This would be very much to our credit to initiate. The length of the charter could be established by us. The turn around point is Bangkok so you could come back with stops via Far East or Europe.

The President directed the Secretary to prepare a circular for wide distribution for an expression of interest. After the circular was distributed and we had the names of interested parties, the next mailing would ask for deposit.

It was noted for the record that every person on the charter would be designated as an official delegate of the American Society of Criminology.

Contact will be made with the International Society of Criminology whose members should be in the same place at the same time.

In the course of making flight arrangements, the Secretary will also check into hotel rates right now because of Expo 70 being held at the same time.

Ohio State University will take care of flyer and publication of announcement in Criminologica.

Agenda Item N/C: AIDP/AMERICAN NATIONAL SECTION

There will be a regional meeting of those living in the East to be held at Vanderbilt Hall November 14, 8 P.M. in Room 208.

The next quadrennial congress of the International Association of Penal Law (Association Internationale de Droit Penal) will be held in Rome in October. Topics are selected four years ahead of time. Each national section prepares their own position reports on those topics: International Criminal Law, International Criminal Procedure, Criminal Law-The General Part, Criminal Law-The Special Part. The President is responsible for this year's American National Report on Criminal Procedure-- Bi-Partition of Criminal Procedure into Two Phases--Trial and Sentencing. Members of the American Society of Criminology were invited to attend.

Item P/Q: Position of the Society regarding D. C. Juvenile Court Changes and J. D. Act

A juvenile court merger proposal actually eliminating the Juvenile Court by making it a part of the criminal court, putting the whole thing into the jurisdiction of the criminal court, with the understanding that the senior judge will assign from time to time "for a reasonable period of time" a judge who will hear juvenile cases. The concern is that if it

goes through and it is almost certain to go through, what happens in D. C. will become a model for other cities. Does the Society want to take a position on this? This has been in the works for two years. This is a result of the Gault decision. It is virtually certain to pass at this session of Congress.

Dr. Mac Namara feels that this is a local bill on a local problem. It would seem to be a matter for a state meeting rather than the Executive Committee of the Society. He noted that some of the Executive Committee might be in favor of a return to criminal court jurisdiction of juvenile cases rather than inferior juvenile courts.

Mr. Susman feels that several things have to be considered, one of which is that the revision and proposed merger was precipitated by a clash of ideas between two of the D. C. judges. He does not feel that what happens in D. C. would be a model for other cities and hopes that it will not be.

Dr. Mac Namara stated that he thought the National Council of Juvenile Court Judges would be the appropriate group as well as the NCCD to express their opinions on this matter.

Dr. Reckless felt that the Society should note the fact that the only place where a juvenile gets the best break is in California. Only a few big cities actually do have specialized juvenile courts.

The President stated that the Society could make a contribution in this respect through a study. He wondered whether it would be appropriate for the Society to call a meeting on this, inviting criminal lawyers, juvenile court judges, social workers, etc., involved directly in the matter. This could also be taken as a topic for the 1969 Congress and participants should be notified early so that they could prepare papers for delivery.

The President-Elect was requested to take this topic under advisement as a potential topic for the 1969 Congress, to notify participants early, to insure an in-depth study.

Agenda Item R: Omnibus Crime Control Act (Safe Streets).

President asked whether the Society should do anything about the Act.

Dr. Reckless noted for the record that it was his experience that many cities did not know how to go about making requests under the Act. He felt that the Society should appoint someone to go to Washington and get this information which he could pass on to the members in great detail so as to help local states and divisions know what to do. This would also apply to agenda item P/Q, the Juvenile Delinquency Act. It would help a great deal if somebody from the Society could give us the right dope on whether law schools, or police or who gets what.

Mr. Susman reported that the set up of the Juvenile Delinquency Act was such that a number of states had an existing body or special commission set up which will administer the provisions of the Crime Bill as well as those instances where they will also administer the J. D. Act. The official position of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare on the J. D. Act is that if there is one commission it should have as part of its membership a number of persons or a representative body concerned with prevention and control of juvenile delinquency.

Mr. Susman noted that the first state heard from through its Governor Lester Maddox, was Georgia. The Governor of New Hampshire has moved in this matter as well as the Governors of Florida and Arkansas. They indicated they will have one body to administer both Acts. Louisiana too has so indicated. Several models emerge for one commission with two subdivisions, one for juvenile delinquency and one for the Omnibus Crime Control Act. In some states Governors will appoint a Commission on Safe Streets but let governmental agencies handle Juvenile Delinquency.

Dr. Mac Namara noted for the record that both the City of and State of New York maintained offices in Washington to keep abreast of developments.

Agenda Item S: Latin-American Relations

One hundred letters were sent out with many responses to Toro Calder. A lot of requests have been received from Latin-American journals to trade with Criminologica and discussion ensued on what to do about trade arrangements. Dr. Dinitz thought the Society should have a panel at the next meeting on The State of Latin-American Criminology. It was suggested that the Latin-American Editor hold the exchange journals at his University.

Mr. Susman suggested that the Society communicate with the Library of Congress and that the Society make these journals available to the Library of Congress, but the President noted that most of them were already in the hands of the Library of Congress.

The President directed the Latin-American Editor to make exchange agreements with no more than ten Latin-American journals and keep these at the Center of Criminology at the University of Puerto Rico.

Agenda Item T: Site of the 1969 Meeting

The Executive Committee will set the site for the meeting. The suggestion was put forth that this site be Columbus, Ohio. Approval for Columbus was unanimous.

The President asked if there was any miscellaneous business before hearing the Treasurer's Report.

The Matter of excess tentative programs was mentioned and it was decided to distribute them to Executive Committee members for distribution at their institutions.

The names of those chosen for Executive Councillors were submitted:

Dr. Joseph Satten	Menninger Foundation
Professor John Martin	Fordham University Sociology Department
Dr. Denis Szabo	Centre of Criminology, Montreal
Dr. John Ball	Temple University Medical School Department of Psychiatry
Dr. Richard Brotman	New York Medical College

Further consideration for names of executive councillors was given to Dr. Gilbert Geis, Professor Morris Ploscowe and Dr. Ben Frank of the Joint Commission.

Agenda Item T:

Dr. Dinitz recommended that there be some attempt to appoint Negro Executive Councillors. The name of _____ Fand and Charles Quick were submitted to the Committee, along with Lawrence Pierce.

Dr. Kramer was afraid that if we appoint Executive Counselors just because they were Negro that would not be a good idea. The President disagreed and noted the Executive Counselors were specifically designed to attract new members and if we appoint Black people that we can draw more Black people into the Society.

Dr. Cormier pointed out that the French-Canadians resented being put on committees just because the committee needed a French-Canadian.

Dr. Mac Namara thought a good way to attract Negroes to the Society would be by making sure all our programs had knowledge Negro representatives as panelists, like Kenneth Clark, who could be called upon for a discussion session.

Dr. Chwast felt that the Society had not made an active attempt to get Negroes involved in the meetings. Dr. Dinitz felt we would be on the way to getting chairmanships and papers if we had an entre by having counselorships for Negroes and women.

MOTION WAS MADE AND ACCEPTED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING

Agenda Item U: Treasurer's Report

All bills are paid. There are no outstanding or anticipated bills.

\$746.70 in treasury

As of September 28, 1968 there was \$676.20 plus \$20 or a total of \$696.70

President was pleased with solvency.